



CRIMECAST® INDUSTRY BENCHMARK ANALYSIS

# **FITNESS & RECREATIONAL SPORTS CENTERS - 2022**

**COMPARE YOUR CRIME RISK AGAINST YOUR INDUSTRY**

# ABOUT THIS ANALYSIS




## UNDERSTAND THE RISK OF CRIME ACROSS YOUR INDUSTRY

*This analysis provides an overview of the crime risk distribution across approximately 76,000 Fitness & Recreational Sports Center locations in the United States.*

*Use this information to visualize the crime risk profile for your industry and benchmark your locations against it.*

- How many locations are in each CAP Risk Category?
- How do your locations compare to the industry's risk profile?
- What is the average CAP Score across your industry?



*Combine this analysis with CAP Index® CRIMECAST Reports to make actionable security and business decisions related to risk exposure and mitigation.*

# SCORING A LOCATION – HOW IT WORKS



## THE CAP INDEX SCORING SYSTEM

At the core of our products and services is the **CAP Index Scoring System**, a sequential process that uses a **proprietary algorithm to generate crime risk scores** (“CAP Scores”) that provide a relative measure of the likelihood that crime and loss will occur at any address in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom.



# SCORING A LOCATION – HOW IT WORKS



## CAP INDEX SCORING METHODOLOGIES

CAP Scores for a specific business application are generated using one of our four **CAP Index Scoring Methodologies**. These scoring methodologies account for the fact that perpetrators often travel varying distances to commit crimes (the “journey to crime”) depending on the target. The scoring methodologies also consider the nature and attractiveness of different types of targets for different types of crimes.

Each of the CAP Index Scoring Methodologies designates a progressively larger “sphere of influence” that is used to assess the risk of crime. Each sphere is defined by a maximum geographic radius or a population threshold.

Here is an overview of each scoring methodology and common uses:

Scoring Methodologies→	MAX-1	MAX-3	MAX-6	MAX-12
Inner Radius	1-mile <b>or</b> 25,000 people	1-mile <b>or</b> 25,000 people	2-miles <b>or</b> 100,000 people	4-miles <b>or</b> 400,000 people
Inner Radius Weight	100%	80%	80%	67%
Outer Radius	n/a	3-miles <b>or</b> 100,000 people	6-miles <b>or</b> 400,000 people	12-miles <b>or</b> 1,600,000 people
Outer Radius Weight	n/a	20%	20%	33%
Typically Used For	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Residences</li><li>• Delivery Destinations</li><li>• Delivery Protocols</li><li>• Route Planning</li><li>• Home Healthcare Visits</li><li>• Field &amp; Service Visits</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Convenience Stores</li><li>• Gas Stations</li><li>• Grocers</li><li>• Drug Stores</li><li>• Apartment Complexes &amp; Multi-family Housing</li><li>• Small Format Offices</li><li>• Liquor Stores &amp; Beer Distributors</li><li>• Medical Offices</li><li>• Urgent Care &amp; Clinic Locations</li><li>• Eating &amp; Drinking Establishments</li><li>• Smaller Hotels &amp; Motels</li><li>• Auto Repair Facilities</li><li>• Specialty Retail Stores</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Banking &amp; Credit Union Branches</li><li>• Big Box Stores</li><li>• Hospitals</li><li>• Utility Terminals &amp; Substations</li><li>• Family Entertainment Venues</li><li>• Warehouses &amp; Storage Facilities</li><li>• Automobile Dealerships</li><li>• Industrial &amp; Manufacturing Facilities</li><li>• Real Estate Developments</li><li>• Larger Hotels</li><li>• Mall-based Retailers</li><li>• Educational Facilities</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Distribution Centers</li><li>• Larger Business Headquarters</li><li>• Data Centers</li><li>• Convention Centers</li><li>• Large Sports &amp; Entertainment Venues</li><li>• Industrial Parks</li><li>• Utility &amp; Power Generation Plants</li><li>• Educational Campuses</li><li>• Mega-malls</li></ul>

# RISK DISTRIBUTION FOR FITNESS & RECREATIONAL SPORTS CENTERS



## MAX-1 METHODOLOGY


The following chart shows the CAP Score risk distribution for the industry using the **MAX-1 Scoring Methodology** – an assessment using a maximum radius of 1 mile or a population of 25,000 people around each location.

Using this scoring methodology, 41.5% of the scored locations for the industry are above the national average CAP Score of 100.

### DISTRIBUTION OF LOCATIONS BY CAP RISK CATEGORY:

● Below Average Risk (0-99)	44,170
● Moderate Risk (100-199)	15,169
● Mildly Elevated Risk (200-399)	11,031
● Moderately Elevated Risk (400-799)	4,596
● Substantially Elevated Risk (800-2000)	588

Total Locations: 75,554



# RISK DISTRIBUTION FOR FITNESS & RECREATIONAL SPORTS CENTERS



## MAX-3 METHODOLOGY


The following chart shows the CAP Score risk distribution for the industry using the **MAX-3 Scoring Methodology** – an assessment using a maximum radius of 3 miles or a population of 100,000 people around each location.

Using this scoring methodology, 58.0% of the scored locations for the industry are above the national average CAP Score of 100.

### DISTRIBUTION OF LOCATIONS BY CAP RISK CATEGORY:

● Below Average Risk (0-99)	31,745
● Moderate Risk (100-199)	20,020
● Mildly Elevated Risk (200-399)	17,145
● Moderately Elevated Risk (400-799)	5,989
● Substantially Elevated Risk (800-2000)	655

Total Locations: 75,554



# RISK DISTRIBUTION FOR FITNESS & RECREATIONAL SPORTS CENTERS



## MAX-6 METHODOLOGY


The following chart shows the CAP Score risk distribution for the industry using the **MAX-6 Scoring Methodology** – an assessment using a maximum radius of 6 miles or a population of 400,000 people around each location.

Using this scoring methodology, 73.2% of the scored locations for the industry are above the national average CAP Score of 100.

### DISTRIBUTION OF LOCATIONS BY CAP RISK CATEGORY:

● Below Average Risk (0-99)	20,238
● Moderate Risk (100-199)	20,879
● Mildly Elevated Risk (200-399)	25,740
● Moderately Elevated Risk (400-799)	8,312
● Substantially Elevated Risk (800-2000)	385

Total Locations: 75,554



# RISK DISTRIBUTION FOR FITNESS & RECREATIONAL SPORTS CENTERS



## MAX-12 METHODOLOGY


The following chart shows the CAP Score risk distribution for the industry using the **MAX-12 Scoring Methodology** – an assessment using a maximum radius of 12 miles or a population of 1,600,000 people around each location.

Using this scoring methodology, 87.8% of the scored locations for the industry are above the national average CAP Score of 100.

### DISTRIBUTION OF LOCATIONS BY CAP RISK CATEGORY:

● Below Average Risk (0-99)	9,213
● Moderate Risk (100-199)	9,340
● Mildly Elevated Risk (200-399)	29,684
● Moderately Elevated Risk (400-799)	26,102
● Substantially Elevated Risk (800-2000)	1,215

Total Locations: 75,554



**For questions regarding CAP Index, our products and services, or the applications of CRIMECAST Reports, please contact:**



**Website: [capindex.com](http://capindex.com)**

**Email: [askcap@capindex.com](mailto:askcap@capindex.com)**

*DISCLAIMER: The locations within this CRIMECAST® Industry Benchmark Analysis are based on data collected from external sources. Although the data used is considered reliable, CAP Index is not able to vouch for the completeness or accuracy of the data. CAP Index, Inc. ("CAP") has used reasonable efforts to include accurate and complete information in this document, although CAP makes no representations or warranties that the information provided through this document is accurate, complete or current. CAP may make changes to the information in this document, at any time, without notice and make no commitment to update this information. The contents of this document are the property of CAP. You may print and download portions of material from the different areas of the document solely for your own non-commercial use. Any other copying, redistribution, retransmission or publication of any document material is strictly prohibited without the express written consent of CAP. By accepting this document, you agree not to change or delete any information included in the document. In no event shall CAP be liable for any special, indirect, or consequential damages or any damages whatsoever resulting from loss of use, data, or profits, whether in an action of contract, negligence, or other action, arising out of or in connection with the use or performance of documents, services, or information available from this document. The findings presented in this document are intended to provide a basis of understanding for the reader on the issues presented. The information is not to be construed or used as a substitute for specific legal advice and may not reflect an analysis of all relevant variables or the operational feasibility of the considerations presented. Individuals seeking legal advice for a particular problem or issue should obtain advice from an attorney of their choosing.*